144 ST. MATTHEW. XXI.   
   
   
 hsohn i. prophet, of Nazareth of Galilee. 1%" And Jesus went into   
 the temple of God, and cast out all them that sold and   
 bought in the temple, and overthrew the tables of the   
 1 Deut.xiv.38, and the seats of them that sold ™ doves,   
 xtu.vi7. 18 and said unto them, It is written. \*My house shall be   
 1servi.n. called the house of prayer; ' but ye ™ have made it a den of   
   
   
 thieves. 14 And the blind and the lame came to him in   
 the temple; and he healed them. 16 And when the chief   
 priests and scribes saw the wonderful things that he did,   
 and the children ° crying in the temple, and saying, Ho-   
 sanna to the son of David; they were sore displeased,   
 16 and said unto him, Hearest thou what these say? And   
 mPsvitts. Jesus saith unto them, Yeg; have ye never read, ™ Out of   
 the mouth of babes and’ sucklings thou hast perfected   
   
   
 praise? 17 And he left them, and went out of the city   
 adohnxii8 into "Bethany; and he lodged there. 18 Now in the   
 ™ render, the doves. 2 read, are making.   
 © render, that were crying.   
   
 wish. Luke has “ the king that these instead of the lambs for trespass-   
 John “the king of Israel that cometh.” offering, Lev. also for the purifica-   
 13.) Compare the notes on John ii. tion of women, Lev. xii. Luke ii. 24.   
 138—18. cleansing related our text 13.] Stier that the verse   
 is totally from that related there. juoted from Jeremiah is connexion with   
 It is impossible suppose that Matthew the charge of murder, and the shedding   
 or St. John, or any one but moderately of innocent blood (see Jer. vii. 6). On   
 acquainted with the which he under- the intention of this of our Lord, see   
 took to relate, should have made such a notes on Jobn ii. 15. It was a purely   
 gross error in chronology, must be laid Messianic act ; Mal. iii.   
 to the charge of one or other of them, if 15, 16.) circumstance that the   
 these two occurrences were the same. I were crying ‘Hosanna to the Son of   
 rather view the omission the first the David’ in the temple, seems to me to fix   
 synoptic accounts as in remarkable con- this event, as above, the of the   
 sistency with what we otherwise gather triumphal entry. Psalm viii. fre-   
 from the three Gospels—that their nar- quently cited in the T. of Christ: 1   
 rative is exclusively Galilean (with one xv. 27: Heb. ii. 6: Eph. i. 22. In   
 exception, Luke iv. 44 in our text), understanding such citations as and   
 this last to Jerusalem, conse- that in ver. we must bear in mind the   
 quently the first cleansing passed over important truth, thet the external fulfil-   
 by them. On the difference Mark, ment of a prophecy is often itself a   
 see note on ver. 1, Both comings of type and representation that inner and   
 Jehovah to His temple were partial fulfil- deeper of the prophecy which belongs   
 ments of Mal. iii. shall not to the spiritual of God.   
 receive its final accomplishment till 17.] If this to be literally of   
 great and decisive visit the latter day. the village (and of a district it,   
 The temple here spoken of was the court including part of the Mount of Olives;   
 of the Gentiles. We have no traces see Luke xxi. 37), will be the second   
 of this market in the T. It appears to night spent at Bethany. I would rather   
 have first arisen the captivity, of the two understand it literally,   
 many would come from foreign lands to that the spending nights on the   
 Jerusalem. This would also account for of Olives not begin till next night   
 the money-changers, as it was unlawful (Tuesday).   
 (from Exod. xxx. 18) to bring foreign 18—22.] Tax cvnsk oF THE BARREN   
 money for the of atonement. FIG-TREE. Mark xi. 12—14, 20—26, where   
 dovés} the poor were allowed to offer see notes, St. Luke omits the incident.